

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status	Workgroup Closed
1	WG1	FK	Update Section 2.1.2.8 of proposed legal text to 'Transmission Owner'	TSO removed to make sentence more concise	WG2	Closed	WG2
2	WG1	FK	Include reference to STCP12.2 in paragraph 2.2.6.3	Added the reference	WG2	Closed	WG2
3	WG1	FK	In Schedule 3, Section 2.1, separate out the terms for RMS and EMT and apply the reference to PCA.9	Separated	WG2	Closed	WG2
4	WG1	FK	Update legal text to consistently use the term 'Transmission Owners' in STCP12.2	Applied throughout	WG2	Closed	WG2
5	WG1	FK	Share the link to the guidance note with the Workgroup	This will be shared	WG2	Closed	WG2
6	WG1	FK	Update section 3.49 within STCP12-2	Updated. Dates removed and approach has been discussed and options 1 2 3 4 will be followed	WG2	Closed	WG2
7	WG1	FK/Ofgem	Discussion offline regarding Cost Recovery.	For Information only for Workgroup members and confirmed not in scope for Workgroup discussions	WG2	Closed	WG2
8	WG1	FK	Update STCP12-2 legal text based on Workgroup Members feedback	Share with Workgroup members for review ahead of the next Workgroup meeting	WG2	Closed	WG2
9	WG1	FK	Confirmation on repeat compliance fees and if this is scope	Further to consultation with the compliance team, TO's are not involved in the compliance repeat process and therefore not in scope	WG2	Closed	WG2
10	WG1	All	Review the STCP and provide any comments back to Proposer	Comments added and reviewed	WG2	Closed	WG2
11	WG2	FK / ML / AJ	Discussion offline with Ofgem and the NESO Legal team regarding cost recovery mechanisms for offshore transmission owners	<p>Advice was sought from the NESO Legal team and is as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Licence changes are Ofgem led 2.OFTOs could write Ofgem a letter asking if a licence change is needed as request for assistance to progress the drafting 3.Process (from a CM097 perspective) should be OFTO led 4.The CM097 Workgroup report should include this as an issue for Ofgem's consideration <p>Proposer spoke to Ofgem following Workgroup 4, they confirmed that they're consulting internally to assess if onshore TOs could have cost recovery arrangements covered. Ofgem will provide an update, hopefully before the next workgroup. Ofgem letter on the basis for OFTO cost recovery shared with Workgroup.</p> <p>Meet with Ofgem to further discuss cost recovery issues and provide an update at Workgroup 6.</p> <p>Workgroup member noted that this Action relates to a general issue with Ofgem regarding cost recovery for several projects, including the EMT model. The Workgroup member stated that their regulation team is seeking a meeting with Ofgem to discuss this issue more broadly. NESO representatives engaged in ongoing discussions with Ofgem to find a resolution.</p>	WG6	Closed	WG6
12	WG2	FK	Speak with the modelling team to clarify the expectations for the validation report in STCP 12-2 and update the document accordingly.	The Proposer confirmed that the document was updated and shared with Workgroup members.	WG3	Closed	WG3
13	WG2	FK	Share the updated legal text with the Workgroup members	The Proposer confirmed that the updated legal text was shared with Workgroup members. Action closed, and new actions 15 and 16 opened.	WG3	Closed	WG3
14	WG2	DS/SW	Share the Draft Workgroup Consultation document and the GC0168 Workgroup consultation	It was noted that the Workgroup Consultation documents have been shared with Workgroup members.	WG3	Closed	WG3
15	WG3	FK	A Workgroup member raised a concern about the definition of EMT models in the legal text, suggesting it might be too detailed and not aligned with existing guidance documents. The Proposer took a new action take the discussion offline and come up with a more accurate definition.	RMS and EMT have not yet been defined in the Grid Code as well. Propose to use as defined within the draft STCP12-2.	WG4	Closed	WG4
16	WG3	FK	It was noted that references within the legal text need to be updated to reflect the correct terminology. This would involve replacing instances of "guidance notes" with "electrical standards" where applicable. The Proposer took an action to update these references.	These have been updated in the draft STCP 12-2 to reflect the feedback.	WG4	Closed	WG4
17	WG4	ML	Invite a Workgroup Consultation respondent to the next Workgroup meeting to discuss raising an Alternative Request.	At the May STC panel meeting, the Proposer requested the respondent join CM097 Workgroup 5. The respondent committed to sending a representative. Invitation to Workgroup 5 sent.	WG5	Closed	WG5
18	WG5	Chair	Share link to STC for minimum attendance requirements for Workgroup Vote.	Chair confirmed quoracy requirements for STC Workgroups and noted usual 50% minimum attendance requirements for Workgroup Vote. Workgroup member asked for link to attendance requirements. Chair confirmed rules for Workgroup Vote are contained in the Terms of Reference (ToR). ToR shared for info.	WG6	Closed	WG6
19	WG5	Chair	Confirm SSEN's STC Party status and if required, update the quoracy requirements for the Workgroup.	Chair shared a list of Workgroup members who were also Party to STC. A Workgroup member noted that SSEN were not on that list and asked for clarification of their status. Chair requested confirmation from SSEN that they are representing Transmission side of business. STC Party status to be updated pending reply.	WG6	Closed	WG6
20	WG5	FK/AJ	Consider how to incorporate the EMT Guidance Note into STCP 12-2 and if/how this impacts CM097.	Propose to add it as an appendix to STCP 12-2 and subject it to the STCP governance arrangements.	WG6	Closed	WG6